

## Caribbean Brethren Assemblies Conference 2017 Evaluation Form

This evaluation is meant to help us in our planning of future conferences. In view of this, your feedback would be greatly appreciated.

On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is **Strongly Disagree** (**SD**), 2 is **Disagree** (**D**), 3 is **Neutral** (**N**), 4 is **Agree** (A) and 5 is **Strongly Agree** (**SA**), **TICK** the most appropriate answer

## OVERALL EVALUATION

The venue was:  a) Comfortable b) Well located The food and refreshments were adequate  WORKSHOP I Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The stated objectives of the session ware met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:		1 SD	2 D	3 N	4 A	5 SA
a) Comfortable b) Well located The food and refreshments were adequate  WORKSHOP I Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	VENUE					
The food and refreshments were adequate  WORKSHOP I Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The tontent of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The stated objectives of the session were met.  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	The venue was:					
The food and refreshments were adequate  WORKSHOP I Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The stated objectives of the session were met.  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	a) Comfortable					
### WORKSHOP 1 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant  b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  #### WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  ###################################	b) Well located					
The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The stated objectives of the session was good. The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was good.	The food and refreshments were adequate					
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was: a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was: a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	WORKSHOP 1 Name of Workshop:				•	
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was: a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was: a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.						
b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.		ntent	of th	e ses	sion v	vas:
c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	a) Relevant					
The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced  The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced  The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session were met.	b) Comprehensive					
The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session was good. The stated objectives of the session was good. The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.						
WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	The quality of discussion during the session was good.					
The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	The stated objectives of the session were met.					
The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.						
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	WORKSHOP 2 Name of Workshop:					
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant						
b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant		ntent	of th	e ses	sion v	vas:
C) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.	,					
The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant  b) Comprehensive  c) Well-paced  The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session were met.	, 1					
The stated objectives of the session were met.  WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	, 1					
WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:  The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant						
The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	The stated objectives of the session were met.					
The content of the session was:  a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	WORKSTON A. N. CAYL 1.1					
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was: a) Relevant	WORKSHOP 3 Name of Workshop:					
a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was: a) Relevant	The	ntant	of th	0.000	.i	***
b) Comprehensive c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant		mem	OI III	e ses	SIOII V	was.
c) Well-paced The quality of discussion during the session was good. The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	,					
The quality of discussion during the session was good.  The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant						
The stated objectives of the session were met.  MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant						
MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:  a) Relevant	The quality of discussion during the session was good.					
a) Relevant	The stated objectives of the session were met.			<u> </u>	l	l
a) Relevant						
/	MAIN PRESENTATION 1 The presenter was:					
b) Knowledgeable	a) Relevant					
	b) Knowledgeable					

AIN PRESENTATION 2   The presenter was:   a) Relevant	c) Well-prepared				
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared  [AIN PRESENTATION 3					l
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared  [AIN PRESENTATION 3	MAIN DDECENTATION 2 The greenter week				
b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared  [AIN PRESENTATION 3		1			l
(a) Well-prepared  (a) Relevant (b) Knowledgeable (c) Well-prepared (AIN PRESENTATION 4 The presenter was: (a) Relevant (b) Knowledgeable (c) Well-prepared	,				
AIN PRESENTATION 3   The presenter was:   a) Relevant   b) Knowledgeable   c) Well-prepared					
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared [AIN PRESENTATION 4] The presenter was: a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	с) жен-ргерагеа				
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared [AIN PRESENTATION 4] The presenter was: a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	IAIN PRESENTATION 3 The presenter was:				
b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared latin PRESENTATION 4 The presenter was: a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers. ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
c) Well-prepared AIN PRESENTATION 4 The presenter was:  a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	,				
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b) Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers. ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
a) Relevant b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?			I		l
b) Knowledgeable c) Well-prepared ctworking and Fellowship a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  Int did you like most about the conference?  Int did you like least about the conference?  Sectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Sectives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	*				
c) Well-prepared etworking and Fellowship  a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking  b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country.  c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM  the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  ectives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	,				
a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking  b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country.  c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  DIFFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM  The conference team was professional and provided the required support.  At did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?  Sectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  The section(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
a. There were opportunities for relaxation and networking b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country. c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  DINFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  at did you like most about the conference?  at did you like least about the conference?  at did you like least about the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  actives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?	,				
b. Links have been established which will strengthen the work of the Brethren in my country.  c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM  the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  In the did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?  Sectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Sectives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
Brethren in my country.  c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM  the conference team was professional and provided the required support.  Int did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?  Sectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Sectives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
c. I am encouraged by the fellowship with other believers.  ONFERENCE SUPPORT TEAM  The conference team was professional and provided the required support.  In the did you like most about the conference?  In the did you like least about the conference?  Sectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Sectives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
t did you like most about the conference?  It did you like least about the conference?  Exercises: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Exercises: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?		+			
at did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?  Actives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  Actives: What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?					
at did you like most about the conference?  At did you like least about the conference?		1	I	l	l
ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference achieved?  estion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	e conference team was projessional and provided the required support.				
gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of future conferences?	at did you like most about the conference?				
	at did you like least about the conference?	chiev	ved?		
ne: Give suggestions for the theme for the next conference.	at did you like least about the conference?	chiev	ed?		
ne: Give suggestions for the theme for the next conference.	ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference a			rence	s?
<b>ne:</b> Give suggestions for the theme for the next conference.	ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference a			ence	s?
	at did you like least about the conference?  ectives: In what ways were the stated objectives of the conference a  gestion(s): What can be done to improve the appeal / content of fut			rence	s?

**Objectives:** Give suggestions for the objectives for the next conference.

Speakers: Give suggestions for the speakers for the next conference.	
Workshops: Give suggestions for the workshops for the next conference.	

## I want to know more about: (Tick relevant topics)

- o Discovering my gifts
- o Deepening my devotional walk with God
- Understanding Scriptures
- o Balancing Ministry with my activities
- o Doctrinal beliefs of the Brethren Assemblies
- o Serving God in the senior years

Other:
--------

Thank You!